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Foundation, feeling that wasn’t nearly enough, but all I could afford. That same semester, I
mentioned AAF to a professor at my veterinary
school and he told me about the Frontiers for
Veterinary Medicine Program. I contacted
AAF and explained that I was a veterinary
student with the motivation and dedication
to help develop a research project aimed at
moving the goals of AAF forward.

After a year of careful planning and hard
work, I submitted a proposal to the Geraldine
R. Dodge Foundation for a research project
entitled, “Behavioral Indicators of Stress in
Asiatic Black Bears Undergoing Rehabilitation
Following Use as Bile Donors.” When I was
contacted and informed that my project had
been selected, I felt the award had been given
to everyone at AAF and all of the bears, too.
This past summer I was so fortunate to travel
to the AAF Bear Rescue Center in Mainland
China and to spend a month learning about
and recording both stereotypic and normal
behaviors of Asiatic black bears rescued from
the bile farms. The bears in the study were
from three different groups: (1) recently arrived
animals, (2) those in dens in the process of
integration, and (3) those in established groups
with access to an outdoor enclosure.

Stereotypic behavior is defined as behavior
that is repetitive in form and apparently
useless in function; it is often used as an
indicator of stress and a measure of welfare in
captive animals. The bears at the AAF Rescue
Center did not pace as most zoo-housed
animals are known to do—instead these bears
demonstrated a stationary, repetitive “head
sway” which is likely a modified stereotypy
resulting from a history of being housed in
tiny cages. Observations of all three groups
did show that this behavior decreased over
time, and, in fact, during observational
periods, the bears in the third group spent
most of their time engaged in normal
behavior: foraging, climbing, swimming, and
playing or wrestling with each other. The
project results are very promising and show a
decrease in stressful behavior over time as the
bears adjust to their new home at the AAF
Bear Rescue Center.

This experience was so valuable, not just
because I was able to give so much more to
the bears, but because I was able to learn a
great deal about the process of research, meet
other veterinarians and veterinary technicians
working internationally, travel and learn about
another culture, and open my eyes to many
more possibilities and opportunities in the
field of veterinary medicine.
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Solving the problem of pet overpopulation is no simple task, as it has become increasingly difficult to identify the factors contributing to pet overpopulation.1,2 In an attempt to respond to a problem with a variety of etiologies, many shelters and humane groups have employed a multimodal approach to combat overpopulation, incorporating spay-neuter programs, educational outreach programs, and stricter county ordinances. Of particular interest, and the subject of much debate, are laws governing the sterilization of animals. There seems to be promising evidence that spay-neuter incentive legislation is decreasing euthanasia rates in places such as King County, Washington; Denver, Colorado; and San Mateo, California.

In 2004, commissioners in Buncombe County, North Carolina, passed a strict spay-neuter law requiring that any cat or dog over the age of 6 months be spayed or neutered or the owners must purchase a one-time unaltered animal permit. In 2005, the city of Asheville implemented a similar ordinance. Initial reports and subjective assessment of the ordinance by veterinarians and the animal welfare community were favorable.

With assistance from a Geraldine R. Dodge Foundation grant, we were able to investigate further the effects of this legislation by analyzing the following: animal intake data, euthanasia rates, adoption rates, number of spays and neuters, concurrent programs promoting pet depopulation, how the county and city were enforcing the ordinance, and its economic impact on the county and city budget.

Animal intake numbers and euthanasia numbers seem to be decreasing, especially for dogs, but we will not know if this is statistically significant until we collect the last remaining data sets at the end of December. Public recognition of the overpopulation problem, accessibility of a low-cost spay-neuter program, previous advertising campaigns by the MIMI Foundation, and minimal cost of enforcement seem to be the driving factors in the apparent success of this piece of legislation. Should future analysis show continued success in reducing pet overpopulation in this area, we hope other counties will adopt similar policies, tailoring it to their county’s needs.
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Editor’s note: AVAR is currently working on similar legislation for Sacramento County, California, which would limit breeding to those who purchase a high unaltered license fee for the animal. Sacramento County has one of the worst kill rates in California, with nearly one out of every two animals who enter the shelter never leaving alive. AVAR also has plans to work with other California counties to enact similar legislation. For a copy of the model spay/neuter ordinance, email pam@avar.org.